# ITEM NO: ORD 11.2.3

# FRASER COAST REGIONAL COUNCIL ORDINARY MEETING NO. 3/25

WEDNESDAY, 26 MARCH 2025

SUBJECT:	REQUEST FOR NEW LEASE - MARYBOROUGH GARDEN CLUB INC.
DIRECTORATE:	ORGANISATIONAL SERVICES
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICER:</b>	DIRECTOR ORGANISATIONAL SERVICES, Keith Parsons
AUTHOR:	LEASING OFFICER, Karen Connor
LINK TO CORPORATE PLAN:	Connected, Inclusive Communities and Spaces. Provide inclusive cultural, sporting and recreation opportunities to encourage community participation.

## 1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to recommend a new Trustee lease be entered into with the Maryborough Garden Club Inc. (the 'Club') over part of a Reserve for Park and Recreation purposes which Council is Trustee, described as Lot 192 on Crown Plan MCH4534, located on the corner of Raglan and Banana Streets, Granville (the 'Land').

## 2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report seeks Council's resolution to grant an exception from the auction/tender process under Section 236 of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* to dispose of land (via lease) by entering into a direct trustee lease with the Maryborough Garden Club Inc. A lease will formalise their existing occupancy and well-established use of the site which encourages broad community participation including playgroups, drumming, art days, workshops, club events, and gardening.

A pre-lease inspection was conducted identifying structural repairs required to be carried out as part of the lease establishment and appropriate budget will be required to satisfy Council lease obligations.

## 3. OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

 pursuant to Local Government Regulation 2012, Chapter 6, Sections 236 (1) (b) (ii), (2) and (4), which permits Council to dispose of an interest in land (a valuable non-current asset) to a community organisation without inviting tenders or conducting an auction, Council resolves to dispose of the interest in the land by entering into a Trustee Lease for a term of ten (10) years with the Maryborough Garden Club Inc. over part of Lot 192 on Crown Plan MCH4534, located on the corner of Raglan and Banana Streets, Granville.

- 2. Delegates authority to the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and execute a trustee lease for a term of ten (10) years with Maryborough Garden Club Inc. over part of Lot 192 on Crown Plan MCH4534, located on the corner of Raglan and Banana Streets, Granville.
- 3. Endorse funding and programming structural repairs, as identified in the Building Condition Report (**Confidential Attachment 3**), to meet Council's lease obligations.
- 4. Delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer to determine and arrange the necessary structural repairs required to meet Council's lease obligations.

# 4. BACKGROUND & PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION

The land has been leased by several organisations over the years, with the most recent lessee, Maryborough Baptist Church, no longer requiring its use. Council held a lease with the Maryborough Baptist Church over part of Lot 192 on Crown Plan MCH4534 for the purpose of providing after school care. The lease area is shown outlined in yellow on the attached Locality Map (Attachment 1).

The Baptist Church permitted other groups, including the Club, to use the hall without formal tenure. During the Covid period (2019 onwards) the Maryborough Baptist Church ceased operations, and the Club started to fully occupy the building without a formal lease agreement.

The Club currently occupies the building and is well established on-site, with the surrounding land enhanced by garden beds and shrubs. The Club has expressed interest in formalising their tenure through a lease arrangement with Council.

A pre-lease inspection was conducted in November 2024 identifying structural repairs required to be carried out as part of the lease establishment and appropriate budget will be required to satisfy Council's lease obligations. The estimated cost of structural repairs is between \$20, 000 and \$40, 000.

The full condition report (**Attachment 2**) is available to Councillors as a confidential attachment due to asset management considerations.

## 5. PROPOSAL

In alignment with Council's approach to community tenure arrangements, it is proposed that Council enters into a new trustee Lease for a period of ten (10) years with the Maryborough Garden Club Inc. over part of Lot 192 on Crown Plan MCH4534, located on the corner of Raglan and Banana Streets, Granville.

## 6. FINANCIAL & RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

All costs associated with the preparation, lodgement and survey of the lease are to be borne by the Club along with any survey preparation (if applicable), and lodgement fees. It is expected that the lease document will be drafted inhouse and legal fees will not be incurred and that the current survey plan will be accepted by Titles Qld upon registration of the lease.

The lease fee for rent applied for community group leases under this policy is \$1 per annum, and under the Community Groups Concession Council Policy, eligible not-for-profit community groups can receive further concessions on general rates and charges.

# 7. POLICY & LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

*Local Government Regulation 2012*, Chapter 6, Section 236 (1) (b) (ii), (2) and (4), permits Council to dispose of an interest in land (a valuable non-current asset) to a community organisation without inviting tenders or conducting an auction provided approval is granted via a Council resolution.

The Club is now incorporated and has complied with the governance requirements of the Fraser Coast Regional Council Land/Lease Assistance for Not-for-Profit Incorporated Community Groups (Sport, Recreation, Arts and Culture and Community) Policy and has submitted its Certificate of Incorporation, Certificate of Currency, and independently audited financial statements.

## 8. **RISK IMPLICATIONS**

N/A

# 9. CRITICAL DATES & IMPLEMENTATION

If approved by Council, a new Lease will be prepared in accordance with Council's Land/Lease Assistance for Not-for-Profit Incorporated Community Groups (Sport, Recreation, Arts and Culture and Community) Policy.

## 10. CONSULTATION

Internal consultation was undertaken with the Property Works and Services team and Councillors regarding the lease and building condition report.

The Club has been engaged in discussions regarding the proposed lease arrangement and has expressed support for formalising their tenure.

## 11. CONCLUSION

The proposed new lease will provide the Club with suitable long-term tenure to continue to provide a facility which encourages broad community participation to include playgroups, drumming, art days, workshops, club events, and gardening.

The Club has provided its Certificate of Incorporation, Certificate of Currency, and independently audited financial statements as part of the lease preparation.

# 12. ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Locality Map 🕹
- 2. Building Condition Assessment Confidential

ATTACHMENT 1 Locality Map



Lot 192 CP MCH4534

# ITEM NO: ORD 11.2.4

# FRASER COAST REGIONAL COUNCIL ORDINARY MEETING NO. 3/25

## WEDNESDAY, 26 MARCH 2025

SUBJECT:	ASSET DISPOSAL POLICY
DIRECTORATE:	ORGANISATIONAL SERVICES
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICER:</b>	DIRECTOR ORGANISATIONAL SERVICES, Keith Parsons
AUTHOR:	MANAGER FINANCIAL COMPLIANCE & REPORTING, Peter Dart
LINK TO CORPORATE PLAN:	Focused Organisation and Leadership. Ensure sound financial management to maintain our long-term financial sustainability.

## 1. PURPOSE

This report provides an overview of the proposed new Asset Disposal Policy for Fraser Coast Regional Council, aimed at ensuring transparent, accountable, and compliant asset disposal practices.

## 2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Asset Disposal Policy is a new policy that establishes a structured framework for the disposal of Council-owned assets, ensuring adherence to Queensland Local Government Legislation and Australian Accounting Standards. The policy includes specific provisions for the disposal of portable and attractive items, ensuring their secure handling to prevent misuse. It provides clear guidelines on valuation, disposal methods, and compliance requirements for asset derecognition.

## 3. **RECOMMENDATION**

That Council approves the Asset Disposal policy as per **attachment 1**.

# 4. BACKGROUND & PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION

Council has previously considered various aspects of asset disposal, particularly for high-value items such as land. Practices currently lack a unified framework, leading to inconsistencies in processes and documentation. The policy builds upon existing procedures, consolidating them into a comprehensive framework that aligns with legislative and accounting requirements.

## 5. PROPOSAL

The Asset Disposal Policy provides a consistent framework for the disposal of Council-owned assets, including guidelines for valuation, disposal methods, and recording. The framework includes:

- Compliance with AASB 116 and AASB 13 for fair value assessment and financial reporting.
- Special controls for portable and attractive items.
- Detailed processes for land disposals under the Local Government Regulation 2012.
- Disposal methods, such as public auction, tender, and donation, specific to asset type and value.

# 6. FINANCIAL & RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

The policy ensures that asset disposals are conducted to maximise returns while complying with accounting standards. The valuation of certain assets prior to disposal will ensure accurate financial reporting and accountability.

## 7. POLICY & LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

The policy complies with the *Local Government Act 2009* and Sections 227 and 236 of the *Local Government Regulation 2012*. It also aligns with Australian Accounting Standards AASB 116, AASB 13, and AASB 136, ensuring fair value measurement, derecognition, and impairment assessments (where required).

## 8. **RISK IMPLICATIONS**

The policy aims to mitigate risks related to:

- Inconsistent or non-compliant asset disposals.
- Misappropriation of portable and attractive items.
- Financial misstatements due to incorrect or aged asset valuations.
- Reputational risks from a lack of transparency.

## 9. CRITICAL DATES & IMPLEMENTATION

This is a new policy, accordingly no tracked changes version available for review. The policy will take effect upon approval by Council.

## 10. CONSULTATION

Consultation was undertaken with:

- Executive Leadership Team
- Council Procurement Team
- Asset Custodians
- Financial Services Team

Feedback from these groups has been incorporated into the policy to ensure practicality and alignment with operational needs.

The draft policy was circulated to Councillors via email for feedback on 6 March 25, should further consultation be required the policy will be presented at a Councillor Briefing.

# 11. CONCLUSION

The Asset Disposal Policy provides a robust framework for managing the disposal of Council assets, enhancing transparency and accountability. The policy aligns with legislative requirements and best practices, offering flexibility in disposal methods while ensuring accounting compliance and community value.

## 12. ATTACHMENTS

1. Council Policy - Asset Disposal Policy 🕹

	COUNCIL PO	OLICY						
	Asset Disposa	al Policy						
Fraser Coast	Policy Number							
REGIONAL COUNCIL	Directorate	Organisational Services						
	Owner	Executive Manager, Financial Services						
	Last Approved							
	Review Due							

### 1. PURPOSE

This policy establishes a structured framework for the disposal of assets by Fraser Coast Regional Council (Council), ensuring that disposals are conducted transparently, accountably, and in compliance with relevant legislation, Queensland local government regulations, and Australian Accounting Standards. This includes special procedures for portable and attractive assets, aiming to prevent misuse or misappropriation.

#### 2. SCOPE

This policy applies to all Fraser Coast Regional Council employees, officers, and contractors involved in the disposal of Council-owned assets. It encompasses various categories of assets, including fleet, plant, equipment, office furniture, library items, and IT hardware and software. Exclusions from this policy are land sales for recovery of unpaid rates, and specific infrastructure assets, which fall under other procedures or departments.

#### **3. TERMS AND DEFINITIONS**

- Asset: Any item recognised as an asset under Australian Accounting Standards, including tangible and intangible items.
- **Portable and Attractive Items**: Items not classified as high-value but considered high-risk due to their portability or desirability, such as electronics or tools.
- Asset Disposal: The process of divesting an asset through sale, transfer, donation, or writeoff.
- **Carrying Amount**: The value at which an asset is recognised on the balance sheet, per AASB 116.
- **Best Value**: Achieving the most advantageous outcome for Council in financial, social, and environmental terms.
- **Community Interest Asset**: An asset that provides significant social, cultural or economic benefits to the community

#### 4. POLICY STATEMENT

Council commits to fair and transparent disposal of assets, ensuring compliance with Australian Accounting Standards, specifically AASB 116 (Property, Plant and Equipment) and AASB 13 (Fair Value Measurement). Disposals should maximise returns and meet accountability standards, with specific attention to the secure handling of portable and attractive items and compliance with legislative requirements for land disposals.

Non-Current Asset Disposal Policy eDOCS #

Current at time of printing only Page 1 of 5

#### 4.1 Compliance with Australian Accounting Standards

Disposals are conducted according to AASB 116 and AASB 13, ensuring all assets are accurately valued at fair value prior to disposal, and gains or losses are recognised in financial statements.

#### 4.2 Valuation Prior to Disposal

Assets will be measured at fair value at disposal, with adjustments reflected in Council's financial statements. Valuation for portable and attractive assets will include security assessments based on asset desirability and risk factors.

#### 4.3 Methods of Disposal

Disposal methods include:

- Public Auction: Ensures competitive and transparent pricing.
- Tender Process: Suitable for high-value or non-standard assets.
- Donation to Charitable Organisations: When aligned with community goals.
- Trade-In: Primarily for plant and equipment replacements.
- Scrapping/Destruction: When the asset holds no residual value or utility.

#### 4.4 Criteria for Disposal

An asset may be disposed of if it:

- Has reached the end of its useful life.
- Is surplus to operational needs.
- Is obsolete, outdated, damaged beyond repair, or uneconomical to maintain.
- Is replaced by a newer asset.

### 4.5 Special Considerations for Portable and Attractive Items

Given their portability and desirability, these assets require additional controls:

- 1. Approval from the Executive Manager or delegated authority before disposal.
- 2. Preference for disposal methods that offer transparency, such as auction or tender, especially for electronics, tools, or items valued above a set threshold.
- 3. Recording details of each portable and attractive asset disposal in the asset register.

#### 4.6 Sale of Land

In accordance with the Local Government Regulation 2012, the disposal of land owned by the Council is subject to the following requirements:

#### 4.6.1 Default Disposal Methods

Public Auction or Tender:

- Council land is generally sold through public auction or tender to ensure competitive pricing, fairness, and transparency (Section 227).
- •

### 4.6.2 Exemptions to Auction or Tender

Non-Current Asset Disposal Policy eDOCS #

Current at time of printing only Page 2 of 5 Land may be disposed of through alternative methods if specific criteria are met (Section 236):

- 1. Disposal to a Government Agency:
  - Land can be transferred to another government body or agency.
- 2. Disposal to a Community Organisation:
  - Land may be sold or leased to a non-profit or community organisation for purposes aligned with Council's goals.
- 3. Adjoining Landowner Sale:
  - Land that is not independently marketable due to its size, shape, or location may be sold directly to an adjoining landowner.
- 4. Other Circumstances (including listing for sale):
  - Subject to Council resolution providing any required justification.

#### 4.6.3 Approval and Record-Keeping

- Any disposal of land must be approved by Council, with a resolution passed in a public meeting for any exemption to auction or tender unless delegated authority is in place for specific transactions.
- All details of the disposal, including the valuation, method, and justification for exemptions, must be recorded and retained for audit purposes.

#### 4.6.4 Valuation Requirements

- Land should be valued at market value prior to disposal to ensure the best financial outcome for the community.
- If most recent land revaluation (at market value) for financial statement purposes is for the preceding financial year-end prior to commencing the sale, it may be used for marketing or listing purposes.

### 4.6.5 Community Consultation

• Where appropriate, Council may engage the community for input on land disposals, particularly for properties with significant community interest or use.

#### 4.7 Recording and Reporting

All disposals are recorded in Council's asset register, with disposals of portable and attractive items flagged for additional scrutiny. Gains or losses are recognised in financial statements per AASB 116, and outcomes are reported to Council.

### 5. ACCOUNTING TREATMENT

#### 5.1 Derecognition of Assets

Assets are derecognised when no future economic benefits are expected, and their carrying amounts are removed from Council's balance sheet.

#### 5.2 Gain or Loss on Disposal

The gain or loss is calculated as the difference between disposal proceeds and the asset's carrying amount, recognised in the income statement.

#### 5.3 Impairment

Non-Current Asset Disposal Policy eDOCS # Current at time of printing only Page 3 of 5 If an asset is impaired before disposal, the impairment loss is recognised per AASB 136, ensuring an accurate carrying amount.

### 6. RESPONSIBILITIES

Estimated asset disposal limits for approvals:

- Executive Managers estimated disposal value up to \$200,000
- Directors estimated disposal value between \$200,001 and \$500,000
- Executive Leadership Team estimated disposal value \$500,001 and \$1,000,000
- Council
  - $\circ$  estimated disposal value of greater than \$1,000,000 and/or
  - o a community interest asset and/or
  - an asset disposal plan for a group of assets

Other responsibilities:

- Executive Leadership Team: Oversees disposal policy adherence.
- Asset Custodians: Identify assets for disposal, implement appropriate disposal methods, and ensure compliance.
- Executive Manager Financial Services: Maintains oversight of financial reporting for disposals.

#### 7. DISPOSAL PROCEDURES

#### 7.1 Decision to Dispose

Assets may be disposed of based on criteria including obsolescence, replacement needs, or non-compliance with safety standards.

### 7.2 Preparing Assets for Disposal

Staff must ensure assets are free from sensitive information or materials before disposal. Portable and attractive items must be securely cleared of any Council-specific branding or information.

#### 7.3 Disposal Methods

- Auction or Tender: Preferred for high-value or risk-prone items.
- List for Sale Land assets only with Council approval
- **Donations**: Limited to non-profit community groups via Expression of Interest or by Council resolution, following financial assessments.
- **Recycling or Destruction**: For assets deemed of negligible value.

Where applicable, a signed declaration should be sought from purchasers, noting that items are sold "as-is", with no warranty implied.

#### 8. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All asset disposal activities must be conducted in a manner that upholds the principles of integrity, impartiality, promoting the public good, commitment to the system of government, accountability and transparency to prevent actual, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.

Non-Current Asset Disposal Policy eDOCS # Current at time of printing only Page 4 of 5

- Councillors, employees, and any other individuals involved in the disposal process must declare any conflicts of interest—whether financial, personal, or professional—before participating in asset disposal decisions.
- Individuals with a conflict of interest must not be involved in any aspect of the asset disposal process, including valuation, tender evaluation, auction procedures or approvals.
- Local government employees, councillors, and their close associates (including family members and business partners) are prohibited from purchasing assets directly from the council, unless through a publicly advertised, competitive process open to all members of the public, with any exemptions to this requirement (e.g. personal use electronic items such as mobile phones or tablets) to be approved by the Chief Executive Officer accompanied by formal documentation.

By adhering to these measures, the council ensures that asset disposals are conducted fairly, ethically, and in the best interest of the community.

#### 9. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

This policy is consistent with:

- Local Government Act 2009 including:
  - Section 104: Accountability and transparency in asset disposal processes.
- Local Government Regulation 2012, including:
  - Section 227: Methods of sale (auction/tender).
  - Section 236: Exceptions to auction or tender.
- Australian Accounting Standards Board:
  - o AASB 116 Property, Plant and Equipment
  - o AASB 13 Fair Value Measurement
  - AASB 136 Impairment of Assets

### 9. RELATED DOCUMENTS

- Non-Current Asset Policy
- Code of Conduct
- Conflict of Interest
- Fleet Management Policy
- Library Collection Development Statement
- Procurement Policy
- Electrical Safety Regulation (for disposal of electrical items)

#### Version Control

Version Number	Key Changes	Approval Authority	Approval Date	Document Number
1				

## ITEM NO: ORD 11.2.5

# FRASER COAST REGIONAL COUNCIL ORDINARY MEETING NO. 3/25

## WEDNESDAY, 26 MARCH 2025

SUBJECT:	CTOS002 - 24/25 - PROVISION OF SECURITY SERVICES
DIRECTORATE:	ORGANISATIONAL SERVICES
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICER:</b>	DIRECTOR ORGANISATIONAL SERVICES, Keith Parsons
AUTHOR:	COORDINATOR - SERVICES CONTRACTS, Brenda Coase
LINK TO CORPORATE PLAN:	Focused Service Delivery Effectively manage and maintain our assets to reduce asset failure.

### 1. PURPOSE

This report seeks to gain approval for the acceptance of a Tender for CTOS002 - 24/25 - Provision of Security Services.

### 2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report provides a recommendation for the contract award of the preferred tenderer for CTOS002 - 24/25 - Provision of Security Services. The tender submitted by Oh My Pty Ltd t/a Fraser Coast Security and MSS Security Pty Ltd was found to be the most advantageous to Council and is recommended for acceptance of this project.

## 3. OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- Deem attachment 1 CTOS002 24/25 Provision of Security Services Tender Evaluation Report a confidential document and treat it as such in accordance with sections 171 and 200 of the *Local Government Act 2009* and that the document remains confidential unless Council decides otherwise by resolution.
- Accepts the tender submitted by Oh My Pty Ltd t/a Fraser Coast Security for the CTOS002

   24/25 Provision of Security Services, Portions 1 to 7 (see below) on a fixed fee and schedule of rates basis for a term of six (6) years being 2 year term with a possible 2 x 2 year extension option.
- Accepts the tender submitted by MSS Security for CTOS002 24/25 Provision of Security Services, Portion 8 and 9 (see below) on a schedule of rates basis for a term of six (6) years being 2 year term with a possible 2 x 2 year extension option.
- 4. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer or delegate to negotiate and enter into a contract with Fraser Coast Security and MSS Security for the tendered works.

5. Delegates authority to the Chief Executive Officer to approve variations to the tendered amount within the total project budget, subject to the variations being aligned with the specification advertised in the tender for the project.

# 4. BACKGROUND & PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION Project Scope:

The scope of work for this tender includes the below portions

- Portion 1 CCTV / Electronic Surveillance Equipment.
- Portion 2 Security Alarm Monitoring.
- Portion 3 Alarm System Maintenance and Repairs.
- Portion 4 Locking & Unlocking Various Council Premises.
- Portion 5 Security Patrols Various Council Premises.
- Portion 6 Static Guards for Various Locations.
- Portion 7 Banking Services.
- Portion 8 Aviation Security provision of screening authority services.
- Portion 9 Aviation Security provision of passenger and baggage screening options.

## Tender Details:

Due to the value of the project being greater than \$200,000, this procurement activity has been tendered for in accordance with the *Local Government Regulation 2012* (Qld) s228. The Invitation to Offer **CTOS002 – 24/25 – Provision of Security Services** was released via LG Tender Box Public Tenders on 8 November 2024, and closed on 19 December 2024. Council does not accept late tender responses.

## **Tender Evaluation**

An initial review was undertaken to identify any non-conforming tender responses. Thirteen (13) conforming, one (1) alternative and no (0) non-conforming tender submissions were received. The conforming and alternative (if applicable) responses received, are listed below in alphabetical order:

- ATF The Whytehouse Family Trust ta/ Instant Security Systems
- Automated Security
- Baselink Group Pty Ltd
- CMC Queensland Pty Ltd t/a Active Security Group
- Infront Security Pty Ltd
- Jessame Pty Ltd t/a Integr8 Solutions
- Latitude27 Pty Ltd
- MARK CARL SPANN t/a Corporate Security Group Bundaberg/Wide Bay
- MSS Security Pty Ltd
- Oh My Pty Ltd t/a Fraser Coast Security

- SAI Security Protection Pty Ltd
- Security & Technology Services (SQ) Pty Ltd t/a Optic Security Group Qld
- Stephen Lehrke t/a SL Global Digital

Prior to the tender being advertised, the evaluation criteria and weightings were agreed upon, approved through the Procurement Plan and advertised as part of the Invitation to Offer. During the evaluation, each evaluation panel member undertook an independent review and analysis of each tenders technical (non-price) submissions and rated them according to established criteria. The evaluation panel members scored individually during their assessment, which were then combined with a price scoring formula to formulate the evaluation outcome and recommendation.

The tender evaluation process has identified Oh My Pty Ltd t/a Fraser Coast Security and MSS Security Pty Ltd as the preferred tenderers as their offers are the most advantageous, as determined by the lowest Value for Money score in the table in **Attachment 1**.

## 5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS AND RISK

Should the tender from Oh My Pty Ltd t/a Fraser Coast Security be accepted for Portions 1-7, the total estimated cost of the service would be allocated from operational budgets each financial year.

As a comparison to market trends of prior contract for services, Portions 1-7 equate to -

23-24 Actuals	24-25 "23-24 plus CPI	25-26 Proposed
\$324,741.30	\$342,602.07	\$269,073.84

Should the tender from MSS Security Pty Ltd be accepted, the total estimated cost of the service would be recouped from the airlines using the airport facility.

The new security contract has seen no increase to service levels for the new proposed contract.

## Funding:

Source of Funding	Department budgets	operational	Allocated budget	Various
If additional funding is	s required, it will <b>k</b>	e sourced by:		

## **Financial Viability and Risk**

A financial viability assessment was conducted and found that the risk is acceptable.

# 6. ATTACHMENTS

- 1. CTOS002 24/25 Provision of Security Services Tender Evaluation Report Confidential
- 2. CTOS002 24/25 Provision of Security Services Evaluation Matrix 😃

### **Evaluation Summary Sheet**

Weighting	Local I	Benefit	nom	il Skills of inated onnel	Experier	evant nce of the iness	Capacity and capability		Total Weighted Criteria	Compliance Criteria	Value for Money	Ranking	
	1	5%	25%		30%		30%		100%	Mandatory Compliance	Value for Money Ratio		
espondents	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Store	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Out of 100	Non-Weighted Criteria Acceptable / Not Acceptable			
Active Security Group	1.50	4.50	2.00	10.00	2.38	14.25	2.00	12.00	40.75	Not Assessed	Removed		
Automated Security Pty td	3.50	10.50	4.38	21.88	4.00	24.00	4.50	27.00	83,38	Not Assessed	1,734.17	2	
aselink Group Pty Ltd	1.38	4.13	2.00	10.00	1.75	10.50	1.50	9.00	33.63	Not Assessed	9,336.98	7	
nfront Security Pty Ltd	2.50	7.50	4.38	21.88	3.88	23.25	4.13	24.75	77.38	Not Assessed	2,257.45	3	
nstant Security Systems	1.63	4.88	1.25	6.25	2.25	13.50	1.38	8.25	32.88	Not Assessed	3,478.15	4	
ntegr8 Solutions	2.25	6.75	2.25	11.25	3.13	18.75	1.00	6.00	42.75	Not Assessed	Removed		
raser Coast Security	4.25	12.75	2.50	12.50	2.75	16.50	3.63	21.75	63.50	Acceptable	754.02	1	
Optic Security Group QLD	2.50	7.50	3.13	15.63	3.75	22.50	2.50	15.00	60.63	Not Assessed	8,037.25	6	
Al Security Protection	1.88	5.63	1.38	6.88	1.50	9.00	1.25	7.50	29.00	Not Assessed	5,739.31	5	
L Global Digital	0.50	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.75	2.25	Not Assessed	84,737.78	8	

			Technica	al Skills of	Rel	evant			Total				
Weighting	Local Benefit		nominated personnel		Experience of the Business		Capacity and capability		Weighted Criteria	Compliance Criteria	Value for Money	Ranking	
	1	5%	25%		30%		30%		100%	Mandatory Compliance			
Respondents	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Out of 100	Non-Weighted Criteria Acceptable / Not Acceptable	Value for Mon	Value for Money Ratio	
Active Security Group	1.75	5.25	2.13	10,63	2.75	16.50	2.00	12.00	44.38	Not Assessed	4218.591549	5	
Automated Security Pty Ltd	3.63	10.88	4.38	21,88	4.00	24.00	4.38	26.25	83.00	Not Assessed	1,849.45	2	
Baselink Group Pty Ltd	1.50	4.50	2.13	10.63	1.38	8.25	1.00	6.00	29.38	Not Assessed	6,580.52	7	
Infront Security Pty Ltd	2.50	7.50	4.25	21.25	4.00	24.00	4,38	26.25	79.00	Not Assessed	2,137.40	3	
Instant Security Systems	1.75	5.25	1.25	6.25	2.25	13.50	1.75	10.50	35.50	Not Assessed	5,656.08	6	
Integr8 Solutions	1.25	3.75	1.25	6.25	1.25	7.50	0.75	4.50	22.00	Not Assessed	7,040.42	8	
Fraser Coast Security	4.13	12.38	3.38	16.88	3.75	22.50	3.63	21.75	73.50	Acceptable	1,782,86	1	
Optic Security Group QLD	2.75	8.25	2.50	12.50	4.13	24.75	3.25	19.50	65.00	Not Assessed	3,253.82	4	
SL Global Digital	1.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	Not Assessed	52.665.60	g	

Weighting	Local	Benefit	Technical Skills of nominated personnel		Relevant Experience of the Business		Capacity and capability		Total Weighted Criteria	Compliance Criteria	Value for Money	Ranking
lespondents	1 Score	5% Weighted Score	2 Score	S% Weighted Score	3 Score	0% Weighted Score	Score	30% Weighted Score	100% Out of 100	Mandatory Compliance Non-Weighted Criteria Acceptople / Not Acceptable	Value for Money Ratio	
Active Security Group	1.75	5,25	2.13	10.63	2.50	15.00	1.88	11.25	42.13	Not Assessed	2146.635015	2
Automated Security Pty Ltd	3.25	9.75	4.38	21.88	4.00	24.00	4.13	24.75	80.38	Not Assessed	4,716.38	4
Baselink Group Pty Ltd	1.50	4.50	2.13	10.63	1.88	11.25	1.25	7.50	33.88	Not Assessed	35,223.62	8
Infront Security Pty Ltd	2.50	7,50	4.38	21.88	3.75	22.50	4,13	24.75	76.63	Not Assessed	6,052.47	5
Instant Security Systems	2.13	6.38	1.50	7.50	2.38	14.25	1.38	8.25	36.38	Not Assessed	4,687.63	3
Integr8 Solutions	2.25	6,75	2.38	11.88	3.00	18.00	1.00	6.00	42.63	Not Assessed	46,781.03	9
Fraser Coast Security	4.13	12.38	3.38	16.88	3.38	20.25	3.63	21.75	71.25	Acceptable	1,966.04	ţ
Optic Security Group QLD	2.38	7.13	3.25	16.25	3,88	23.25	2.63	15.75	62.38	Not Assessed	21,998.67	7
SAI Security Protection	1.88	5.63	1.25	6.25	1.50	9.00	1.25	7.50	28.38	Not Assessed	13,508.09	б
SL Global Digital	0.75	2.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.75	3.00	Not Assessed	115,909.50	10

Weighting Respondents	Local	Benefit	Technical Skills of nominated personnel		Relevant Experience of the Business		Capacity and capability		Total Weighted Criteria	Compliance Criteria	Value for Money	Rankin
	1 Score	5% Weighted Score	2 Score	Weighted Score	3 Score	0% Weighten Score	Score	30% Weighted Score	100% Out of 100	Mandatory Compliance Non-Weighted Criteria Acceptable / Not Acceptable	Value for Money Ratio	
Corporate Security Group	4.25	12.75	4.00	20.00	3.75	22.50	3.25	19.50	74.75	Not Assessed	74,227.13	3
Infront Security Pty Ltd	2.75	8.25	4,13	20.63	3.63	21.75	4.00	24.00	74.63	Not Assessed	24,632.38	2
Latitude27 Pty Ltd	2.13	6.38	4.25	21,25	2.00	12.00	2.13	12.75	52.38	Not Assessed	215,914.36	Б
Fraser Coast Security	5.00	15.00	4,00	20.00	4.00	24,00	4.25	25.50	84.50	Acceptable	18,982.05	į.
SAI Security Protection	1.75	5.25	1.50	7.50	3.50	21.00	1.50	9.00	42.75	Not Assessed	76,181.73	4
SL Global Digital	0.75	2.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.75	3.00	Not Assessed	5,394,914.03	7
Baselink Group Pty Ltd	0.88	2,63	1.88	9.38	1.75	10.50	1.00	6.00	28.50	Not Assessed	142,104.44	5

		Portion 7 - Banking Services													
Weighting	Local	Benefit	Technical Skills of nominated personnel				Capacity and capability		Total Weighted Criteria	Compliance Criteria	Value for Money	Ranking			
	15% 25%			3	10%	30%		100%	Mandatory Compliance						
Respondents	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Store	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Out of 100	Non-Weighted Criteria Acceptable / Not Acceptable	Value for Mon	Money Ratio			
Corporate Security Group	4.13	12.38	4.00	20.00	3.75	22.50	3.13	18.75	73.63	Not Assessed	4205.093379	3			
Infront Security Pty Ltd	3.25	9.75	4.13	20.63	3.75	22.50	3.75	22.50	75.38	Not Assessed	2,971.81	ž			
Fraser Coast Security	5.00	15.00	4.00	20.00	4.00	24.00	4.25	25.50	84.50	Not Assessed	1,893.49	1			
SAI Security Protection	2.13	6,38	1.50	7.50	3.50	21.00	1.63	9,75	44.63	Acceptable	6,453.78	4			
SL Global Digital	1.25	3.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.75	4.50	Not Assessed	122,533.33	5			
Baselink Group Pty Ltd	0.88	2.63	1.75	8.75	1.75	10.50	0.50	3.00	24,88	Not Assessed	17,559.80	5			

					ba	ggage S	creen	ing Options	S.			
Weighting	Local Benefit nominated 15% 25%		inated	and the second second		Capacity and capability 30%		Total Weighted Criteria 100%	Compliance Criteria Mandatory Compliance	Value for Money	Ranking	
			25%							AND ADDRESS OF ADDRESS OF		
Respondents	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Out of 100	Non-Weighted Onteria Acceptable / Not Acceptable	Value for Money Ratio	
MSS Security	3.00	9.00	4.88	24.38	4.88	29.25	5.00	30.00	92.63	Acceptable	85496.90818	1